## After One Diamond - Two Clubs

Superseded by *EZ-Expert Bidding Tools*, Second Edition. (Applies to Edition 1.) <u>Pete Matthews Jr</u> – <u>https://3nt.xyz</u> – © January 8, 2025

Our 2 ♣ response to a 1 ♦ opening bid is forcing to game.

**Rule:** The 2  $\clubsuit$  response to 1  $\diamondsuit$  provides a 5-card suit, except for a 3=3=3=4 hand unsuitable for a 3 NT response.

With game values, only four clubs, and another 4-card suit, the response should be either one of a major or a forcing 2  $\diamond$  raise. As usual, our 3  $\clubsuit$  response denies a 4-card major and is invitational with at least six good clubs (see page 40).

Suppose partner opens 1 \$\\$, and we hold one of these hands:

a. <b>•</b> K 9 6 4 $\heartsuit$ 10 6 $\diamondsuit$ Q 3 <b>•</b> Q 10 8 4 2	[weak]
b. <b>•</b> K <sub>7</sub> $\heartsuit$ 10 6 $\diamondsuit$ Q <sub>73</sub> <b>•</b> Q 10 8 4 3 2	[weak]
c. ♠A964 ♡106 ◊Q3 ♣KQ1042	[invitational]
d. A 7 \$\frac{106}{106}\$ Q 7 3 \$\cdots K Q 10 8 4 2	[invitational]
e. ♠A94 ♡106 ◊Q103 ♣KQ1042	[invitational]
f. AQ94 \$\forall 1065 \$\log Q_3 \$\cdot K \log 104	[game-forcing]
g. 🔺 A Q 9 4 🛇 10 6 🔷 Q 3 🐥 K Q 10 4 2	[game-forcing]
h. 🔺 A Q 🛇 10 6 🔷 Q 7 3 🐥 K Q 10 8 4 2	[game-forcing]

We respond  $1 \triangleq$  and 1 NT, respectively, with hand [a or b]. We also respond  $1 \triangleq$  with hand [c], planning to invite game later. With hand [d], we jump to  $3 \clubsuit$ , invitational.

This topic is about what happens on game-forcing hands, such as [g & h], after the 2 **\*** response – and problem hands like [e & f].

Over the 2  $\clubsuit$  response, opener's rebid of a major suit does not show extra values (it's not a strong reverse). It denies holding five or more diamonds and shows a 4-card major.

In priority order, opener bids, shows or plans to show:

- 1. a 5-card major (with 6+ diamonds),
- notrump, 3=3=4=3 or possibly 3=3=5=2 (one major may be unstopped),

- 3. diamonds with five or more,
- 4. a 4-card major (usually 3 or 4 diamonds),
- 5.  $3 \clubsuit$  or a splinter ( $3 \heartsuit$  or  $3 \clubsuit$  singleton or void) with 4 + clubs.

This plan should cover all shapes for opener. Because the opening bid was not 1 NT, opener should not have a medium-strength balanced hand. Responder could have such a hand.

02	R2	Next	Rec. After { 1 \$ - 2 \$ } game-forcing	
2 🛇	5+ diamonds, may have a 4-card major.			
	2 🛇	4+ hearts & 5+ clubs.		
	2 🖨	4+ spades & 5+ clubs.		
	2 NT	Natural, may be 3=3 majors with 4, 5, or 6 clubs.		
2 🛇	4+ hearts (3 or $4 \diamond$ ), may have 4 spades.			
2 🖨	4+ spades (3 or $4 \diamond$ ), but not 4 hearts.			
2 NT	12 to 14 HCP, 3=3=4=3 shape.			
3 🕈	4+ 🏶 , no 4-card major, no singleton or void in a major.			
3♦	6+ diamonds, medium+ strength, no 4-card major.			
3♡	Splinter: 4+ clubs, medium+ strength, o or 1 heart.			
3 🛧	Splinter: 4+ clubs, medium+ strength, o or 1 spade.			
3 NT	18 to 19 HCP, 3=3=4=3 shape.			

**Problem hands,** such as responder [e]:

- Invitational values,
- No 4-card major suit,
- Diamonds unsuitable for a single, invitational raise,
- 2 NT unsuitable, and
- Club suit unsuitable for a 3 🏶 response.

Our 2 NT response is invitational, but promises stoppers. Our plan is to respond 2 NT with a suggestion of a stopper in one major, accompanied by a solid stopper in the other. Otherwise, we either go low at 1 NT or force to game with 2  $\clubsuit$ .

e.	▲ A 9 4 ♡ 10 6 ◊ Q 10 3 ♣ K Q 10 4 2	[invitational]
f.	<b>▲</b> AQ94 ♥106 ♦Q73 <b>♣</b> KQ104	[game-forcing]

Hand [e] responds 1 NT; with another point, hand [e] should force to game with 2  $\clubsuit$ . With only four clubs, we respond 1  $\bigstar$  on hand [h].

#### **Examples:**

g.	<b>♦</b> A Q 9 4	♡106 ◊Q	3 🐥 K Q 10 4 2	[game-forcing]
h.	♠AQ ♡:	106 ♦Q73	♣ K Q 10 8 4 2	[game-forcing]

We open 1  $\diamond$ , partner bids 2  $\clubsuit$ , and we hold:

1. ♠K1072 ♡AJ7 ♦K982 ♣J3

On hand [1], we rebid  $2 \clubsuit$ , with fewer than five diamonds.

Responder [g] bids  $3 \spadesuit$ , since slam is possible opposite a large opening hand; we sign off in  $4 \spadesuit$ . When responder [h] rebids  $3 \clubsuit$ , we bid 3 NT.

2. ♠K1072 ♡J97 ◊AK82 ♣J3

On hand [2], we rebid 2  $\bigstar$ , reaching 4  $\bigstar$  with responder [g].

Responder [h] rebids 3  $\clubsuit$  over 2  $\bigstar$ , denying a heart stopper; we bid 3  $\heartsuit$ , showing and asking for half a stopper (Q-x or J-x-x). Responder retreats to 4  $\clubsuit$ , where we play.

Move the  $\clubsuit$  4 into the spade suit, and responder would instead bid 3  $\bigstar$  over 3  $\heartsuit$ . Taking a position, opener might pass, especially at matchpoints – people without our methods can land in real trouble.

3. ♠KJ2 ♡972 ◊AK108 ♣J73

On hand [3], we rebid 2 NT with the expected 3=3=4=3 and one major stopped. Responder [g or h] shows a spade stopper. We bid  $4 \clubsuit$ , and responder should play there.

*Note:* If the response were 2 **\*** on hand [f], our ship would be in a strong on-shore breeze without an anchor.

4. ♠K102 ♡AJ7 ◊AK82 ♣A73

On hand [4], we had planned a jump rebid of 2 NT. With the expected 3=3=4=3 shape, 3 NT is a good bid. Over a natural  $4 \clubsuit$  by responder [g] we jump to 6 NT and play there.

3 NT has implicitly raised responder's clubs (surely 5+ cards for an action now other than 4 NT), so  $4 \diamond by$  either partner asks for keycards in clubs. The grand slam may still be difficult to reach with responder [h].

#### 5. ♠KJ2 ♡A7 ♦AK10982 ♣J3

On hand [5], we jump to  $3 \diamondsuit$ . Responder [g or h] bids  $3 \bigstar$ , as an advance control-bid, confirmed by bidding  $4 \diamondsuit$  over our 3 NT. We bid  $4 \heartsuit$  (RKB \diamondsuit), and land in  $6 \diamondsuit$ . (Lacking a heart stopper, we would have played in a minor suit – in slam if we held a singleton.)

**Exercise:** explore situations where has five diamonds (or more in a non-jump hand), 4-4-4-1, four clubs, or four hearts instead of spades.

## Backstory

2 ♣ with a 4-card major. After a 1 ♥ opening bid, we can safely respond in a 4-card minor suit, when holding four spades. The known 5-card heart suit anchors the auction, and there is only the one additional major to explore. To respond 2 ♣ over 1 ♦ when holding another 4-card suit, we need a 5-card club suit to anchor the complexities of this auction.

BWS includes 3 **\*** invitational and 2 **\*** forcing to game over 1 **>**. Beyond that there is only one sentence on this topic:

Opener's reverse of the form one diamond – two clubs – two of a major does not promise extra values and is ambiguous as to diamond length.

# Alternative Methods

The article "After One Diamond – Two Clubs" outlines these methods:

- An Almost 2/1 method played by Gary Schwartz and the author for a couple of decades.
- Alternative A, inspired by Max Hardy: 2 & forces to game and opener's 3 & is a catch-all.
- Alternative B: 2 ♣ forces to 2 NT and opener's 2 ♦ is a catch-all.
- Alternative C, inspired by a method Marshall Miles said was popular in Europe: 2 ♣ forces to 2 NT and opener's 2 ♡ is a catch-all.

See also the Eaves and Kearney threads on Bridge Winners.

### (end of primary book content - the following text is only in this article)

Almost 2/1. For a couple of decades, Gary Schwartz and I played that the 2 response forced to game unless the suit was rebid. Back in the day, I proposed two plans to Gary Schwartz, and he chose: opener's 2 rebid was a catch-all rebid that could be made with a few as three diamonds; opener's reverse into a major showed 4+ cards and 5+ diamonds, but no extra strength; 2 NT showed extra values and forced to game (mostly a wasted bid, since 1 NT was not opened). We only played this method with each other, and it did not get reinforced. Eventually, we messed up and decided to switch to 3 **♣** invitational and 2 **♣** forcing to game (BWS).

When I fleshed out a plan and proposed it to Gary, he came back with what he plays with others. That's alternative A, which I published in October 2024 as a future addition to the book, along with alternatives B and C.

That same month Marty Bergen's plan, similar to alternative A, appeared in the *Bulletin*. Gary and I have agreed that Bergen's is better, so that's the basis for the recommended method.

### Alternative A – 2 🏶 forces to game – 3 🏶 catch-all

Max Hardy's Standard book (starting page 51) has a precursor of this plan, where opener may rebid 2 NT with a 4-card major. Hardy also requires that responder have at least five clubs to bid 2 ♣ with a 4-card major. Larry Cohen's plan in his "For the 1,000<sup>th</sup> time" article is not as detailed but appears similar. Cohen allows a decent 4-card minor when holding a 4-card major. While Cohen's plan is appealing, we cannot afford only four in the minor, over 1 ♦.

02	R2	Next	Alt. A: After { 1 \$ - 2 ♣ } game-forcing		
2 🛇	5+ dian	monds, may have a 4-card major.			
	2 🌣	4+ hearts, may have 4 spades.			
	2 🔶 4+ spade		es, but not 4 hearts.	Common	
2 🌣	4+ heai	rts, may h	ave 4 spades (3 or 4 ◊).	continuations below.	
2 🔶	4+ spac	des, but not 4 hearts (3 or $4 \diamond$ ).			
2 🔶			[over 2 ♡] 4+ spades.		
2 NT		2 NT	oM stopped, small or large hand.		
3 🐥		3 🐥	Natural, oM not stopped.		
3 🛇		3 🛇	Natural, oM not stopped.		
3 M		3 M	M is trump; serious 3 NT.		
3 NT		3 NT	oM stopped, medium hand.		
JS oM		JS oM	Splinter: 4+ M, short in oM.		
4 M		4 M	Terrible hand, 4-card support.		
2 NT	Balanced, small or large hand, both majors stopped.				
3 🐥	Unstopped major, no 4-card major: 4+ & or 3=3=4=3.				
3 🛇	6+ diamonds, medium+ strength, no 4-card major.				
3 🗘	Splinter: 4+ clubs, medium+ strength, 0 or 1 heart.				
3 🔶	Splinter: 4+ clubs, medium+ strength, 0 or 1 spade.				
3 NT	Balanced, medium hand, both majors stopped.				

In priority order, opener bids, shows or plans to show:

- 1. a 5-card major (with 6+ diamonds),
- 2. diamonds with five or more,
- 3. a 4-card major,
- 4. notrump with both majors stopped,
- 5. 3 **4** with 4+ clubs or 3=3=4=3.

With fewer than four cards in each major, and only four diamonds, opener will either have four or more clubs or be 3=3=4=3.

## Alternative B – 2 🏶 forces to 2 NT – 2 🛇 catch-all

*Rule:* All opener's rebids, except 2 ◊ or 2 NT, force to game.

Opener strains to rebid 2 NT, to let responder off the hook. Half a stopper (Q- x or J-x-x) or four small is good enough in one major. 2 NT is usually best with 4=4=4=1 shape.

*Rule:* Over opener's non-forcing 2 NT rebid, 3 & forces to game.

For 2 ♣, responder will only hold a 4-card major with game-forcing values. With only invitational values, we respond in the major.

*Rule:* The 2 **4** responder's rebid of a major suit forces to game.

Responder will only hold a good 6+ card club suit with game-forcing values. With only invitational values, we respond 3 **♣**.

The 2 **♣** responder will have a hand unsuitable for a 2 NT or 3 NT response. (A 2 NT response is often played as forcing with Plan B).

In priority order, opener bids, shows or plans to show:

- 1. a 5-card major (with 6+ diamonds),
- 2. notrump with both majors stopped (2 NT NF, 3 NT 18–19),
- 3. diamonds with five or more,
- 4. a major suit, with four cards and game values,
- 5. 3 **\*** with four clubs and game values,
- 6. 2 ◊ with 4+ diamonds.

The rare 4=4=3=2 hand with xxxx-xxxx in the majors confounds us.

A chart for Plan B is left to the interested reader.

Marshall Miles' *Constructive Bidding* book, starting on page 59, inspired alternative B. (Chapter 5, page 72, of *Mike Lawrence's Workbook* is a precursor.) The 2 **\*** response is distinctly less powerful and more complicated when it may only be invitational. Alternative B has its own problem hands, causing opener's 2 **\$** to be a catch-all, for example. It does allow the 2 NT

response to show a split-range, balanced game force (small or large); 3 NT would be medium.

## Alternative C – 2 ♣ forces to 2 NT – 2 ♡ artificial catch-all

This alternative was inspired by a paragraph from Miles, who understood it to be popular in Europe.

*Rule:* All opener's rebids, except  $2 \diamond$  or  $2 \heartsuit$ , force to game.

The 2 **♣** responder will have a hand unsuitable for a 2 NT or 3 NT response. (A 2 NT response is often played as forcing with this alternative).

In priority order, opener bids, shows or plans to show:

- 1.  $2 \heartsuit$  to artificially imply a minimum hand,
- 2. a 5-card major (with 6+ diamonds),
- 3. notrump with game values and both majors stopped,
- 4. diamonds with five or more and any strength,
- 5. a major suit, with four cards and game values, or
- 6. 3 **•** with four clubs and game values.

**Over opener's GF 2 NT bid.** With a 4-card major, responder bids it. 3 NT is to play. A minor suit is a last resort.

**Over opener's 2**  $\heartsuit$  **rebid:** All bids by responder except 2  $\clubsuit$  force to game. Responder bids an artificial 2  $\clubsuit$  with all invitational hands, asking opener to bid 2 NT. Opener bids:

- 2 NT when willing to play there. Responder usually passes, but may escape into decent 4-card diamonds or decent 6-card clubs.
- 3 **•** natural and non-forcing.
- $3 \diamond GF$  with exactly 3=3=4=3 shape.
- $3 \heartsuit GF$  with five hearts (and six diamonds).
- 3 ♠ GF with four hearts, four diamonds, balanced, and no spade stop – asking for a spade stopper.

Alternative C looks good with the example hands, but there is much to remember. A chart for Alternative C is left to the interested reader.

### References not yet in Bidding Tools:

Bergen, Marty; "Opener Rebids after 1 ◊ – 2 ♣," Bridge Bulletin, Oct 2024, p.59.

Cohen, Larry; "For the 1,000th time," © 2017, <u>HTTPS://www.larryco.com/bridge-articles/actual/for-the-1000th-time</u>. Eaves, Tyler, et al.; "The 1D-2C Problem" © 2014, <u>HTTPS://BRIDGEWINNERS.COM/article/view/21-1d-2c-2s/</u>.

Kearney, Nigel, et al.; "2/1: 1D-2C-2S??" © 2014, <u>HTTPS://BRIDGEWINNERS.COM/article/view/the-1d-2c-problem/</u>.

Lawrence, Mike; Mike Lawrence's Workbook on the Two Over One System, ©1987.

#### **Index Entries**

Bergen, Marty, 5 Cohen, Larry, 5 Eaves, Tyler, 4 Hardy, Max, 5 jump shift invitational, 1 Kearney, Nigel, 4 Lawrence, Mike, 6 Miles, Marshall, 6 two club response to one diamond, 1