## They Mess with Our One Notrump

Pete Matthews Jr – <u>https://3nt.xyz</u> – © November 12, 2023

This article describes advanced methods to cope with interference by the opponents over our 1 NT opening bid: TNT runouts from a penalty double, two of a minor for both majors, and transfer lebensohl. This material appeared in the Ultimate Draft of *EZ-Expert Bidding Tools*. It's value is relatively low, for the memorization required, so it has been removed from the first edition.

## TNT (Touching/Non-Touching) Runouts

This advanced system of runouts applies in any of these cases, whether or not transfer or natural systems would otherwise be in effect:

- An opponent doubles our 1 NT opening bid or overcall *for penalty*.
- An opponent doubles our weak 1 NT opening bid, for any reason. (Since an artificial double of a weak notrump usually shows values, it can easily be converted for penalties.)

Except when playing weak notrump openings, the added complexity of TNT runouts is probably not worthwhile.

**Primary TNT Runout System.** These are the primary auctions of concern:

- 1. 1 NT (Dble) ?
- 2. (1x) 1 NT (Dble) ?

Responder has three choices:

- Bid the lower of two *touching* suits.
- Rdbl with a single suit, asking opener to bid 2 **\***. Responder will either pass, or correct to the long suit.
- Pass (alert) forces opener to redouble. Responder may pass for penalties or bid the lower of *non-touching* suits: bid 2 ♦ with diamonds and spades, or bid 2 ♣ with clubs and a major (might be a 4–3–3–3 stinker).

A direct 2  $\clubsuit$  bid shows the black suits, but is reserved for hands that can brave a 3  $\clubsuit$  contract.

**Secondary TNT Runout System.** The primary system won't work in the passout seat, for example:

- 1 NT (Pass) Pass (Dble) Pass (Pass) ?

Here, all two-suiters are shown by bidding immediately. Bid the lower of any two suits (asIthe DONT convention). Redouble with a single suit, as in the main system.

We play penalty doubles of 1 NT when the opening is weak. When they play (as we do) that pass forces a redouble, we play the secondary system:

(1 NT) Dble (Pass!)?

Again, a bid shows the lower of two suits. With a single suit, pass and bid it over the redouble.

## They Overcall Our 1 NT Opening

**Two of a minor for both majors.** This situation does not come up that often. For a while, Stewart had me playing this plan, which he plays with Zach Grossack:

Dble Values, penalty-oriented, forcing to 2 NT or 2 M doubled.

 $2 \diamond$  Natural, weak (over  $2 \clubsuit$ ).

 $2 \heartsuit$  Both minors, competitive.

- 2 A Transfer to clubs, at least invitational. New suit is short, and forcing to game (as usual).
- 2 NT Lebensohl (weak).
- 3 A Transfer to diamonds, at least invitational. New suit is short, and forcing to game (as usual).
- $3 \diamond$  Artificial game force, worried about stoppers.
- $_{3} \heartsuit$  Short hearts, both minors.
- $3 \bigstar$  Short spades, both minors.

Transfer lebensohl. Consider this South hand, from a club game:

▲ A J 7 3 2 ♥ 8 7 6 5 ♦ 9 4 ♣ A Q
South West North East
Pass Pass 1 NT [14-16] 2 ♦
?

These folks play DONT, so  $2 \diamond$  showed diamonds and a higher suit. As North, I ignored the heart suit, and jumped to  $3 \clubsuit$ , forcing. Partner raised with:

♠K854 ♥AK ♦K32 ♣J865

The ◊ J came whistling through; East cashed two diamonds from a 6-card suit, and promoted a trump trick for West's ♠ Q-10 doubleton. Making only four was a bad board. East also had ♣ K-10-2. When North declared, they cleared trump, won the club finesse, ruffed out the king and made six. It turns out that East had 2–2 in the majors – he forgot and bid his 6-bagger.

Transfer lebensohl would have right-sided the hand: I would leap to  $3 \heartsuit$ , invitational or better. Whether opener bids  $3 \clubsuit$  or  $4 \clubsuit$ , North declares  $4 \clubsuit$ . On the other hand, had I simply bid  $3 \diamondsuit$  (Stayman without a stopper), partner would have declared without transfer lebensohl. So, in over two decades, I have never recognized a need for transfer lebensohl, as I would with:

▲AJ732 ♡876 �94 ♣AQ3

For more information, see this article:

Cohen, Larry; <u>HTTPS://WWW.LARRYCO.COM</u> > Search > "Lebensohl," © 2012.

## Backstory

Stewart Rubenstein got TNT runouts from Lew Gamerman. I started playing this with Stewart and Andrew Hanes, when we were playing weak notrumps, non-vulnerable. I applied the TNT name to this system; I took it from a system favored by Eric Schwartz to intervene over 1 NT.